Eğitim Felsefesi ve Sosyolojisi Dergisi
Journal of Educational Philosophy and Sociology 2025, Vol. 6 (2), 124-139
https://doi.org/10.29329/jeps.2025.1400.2
Copyright © 2025. This is an open access article under the CC BY

Original article

From Analogy to Abstraction: Student-Generated Thought Experiments for Gifted Physics Learners

Mustafa Şahin Bülbül *

Department of Special Education / Education of Gifted Students, Kafkas University, Kars, Türkiye

Abstract

This study examines thought experiments as a classroom method for gifted learners, asking how student-generated designs can elicit transfer, model critique, and creative scientific reasoning. We adopted a qualitative, exploratory design with three formally identified gifted middle-school students. Semi-structured, open-ended interview prompts—built around canonical physics thought experiments (e.g., Galileo, Einstein, Schrödinger)—served as the data-collection instrument. Each 45–60-minute interview elicited an initial analogy, introduced a targeted counter-example, and required a revision; a subsequent small-group discussion enabled peer critique and co-construction. Using reflexive thematic analysis with iterative coding (open–axial–selective), we traced how learners interpreted and re-framed core ideas from mechanics and quantum theory. Findings show three student-authored thought experiments—“Coin and Ghost Cat” (superposition/observer effect), “Diving into a Pool” (free fall vs. motion in a fluid), and “Cooking and Uncertainty” (measurement–disturbance trade-off). Across cases, students tested conceptual boundaries, surfaced misconceptions, coordinated everyday reasoning with formal principles, and refined their designs following counter-examples. We conclude that a short, structured routine—prime with a canonical case, elicit an analogy, stress it with a counter-example, require revision, and compare designs with a simple rubric—renders thought experiments classroom-feasible. The approach is low-cost and inclusive, supporting higher-order explanation, cross-context transfer, and self-authored inquiry in gifted education.

Keywords: Thought Experiments, Gifted Education, Physics Education, Analogy-Making, Conceptual Change, Scientific Reasoning, Qualitative Methods

Received: 23 May 2025 * **Accepted:** 26 November 2025 * **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.29329/jeps.2025.1400.2

* **Corresponding author:**

Mustafa Şahin Bülbül, Prof. Dr., Department of Special Education / Education of Gifted Students, Kafkas University, Kars, Türkiye.
Email: msahinbulbul@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Thought experiments are mental simulations used by scientists to investigate hypotheses that cannot be directly observed in the physical world or tested in laboratory settings. This method has laid the foundation for many revolutionary theories in the history of science. For example, Galileo's inferences on inclined planes paved the way for Newton's law of gravitation, while Einstein's thought experiments involving observers moving near the speed of light led to the birth of the theory of relativity. Similarly, Schrödinger's famous cat paradox shaped the fundamental interpretations of quantum mechanics, sparking new debates in the scientific world (Brown, 2011; Gendler, 2004; Sorensen, 1992). These experiments allow scientists to transcend physical limitations, concretize abstract concepts, and develop new theories.

The power of thought experiments is not limited to theoretical physics or philosophical inquiries. These mental exercises also hold significant potential as a method to support creative thinking in education. Gifted individuals, in particular, are drawn to problems that require deeper, more complex, and alternative perspectives beyond ordinary learning processes (Sternberg & Davidson, 2005). Thought experiments can help these students reach higher levels in scientific discovery processes. For instance, a student might use the uncertainty principle in quantum mechanics to analyze unpredictability in human decision-making. Such experiments enable students to both understand scientific theories and apply them to new contexts to generate creative solutions.

For gifted students, thought experiments not only deepen scientific knowledge but also enhance critical thinking and problem-solving skills. These students can internalize complex concepts and adapt them to different disciplines. For example, a student inspired by Galileo's free-fall experiment might adapt the theory of gravitation to modern cosmology, developing new hypotheses about the expansion rate of the universe. Such experiments facilitate students' understanding of scientific processes while nurturing their creativity and analytical thinking abilities.

Thought experiments can be an effective tool in the education system to unlock the potential of gifted students. This method encourages students to question scientific theories, develop new perspectives, and establish interdisciplinary connections. Additionally, thought experiments enable students to actively engage in scientific processes, boosting their confidence and scientific curiosity. Therefore, educators should integrate thought experiments into their curricula to meet the needs of gifted students and guide them on their scientific discovery journey. This approach not only enhances students' academic success but also contributes to the development of future scientists.

This article discusses why thought experiments are important for gifted individuals, how they have been used in the history of science, and how they can be effectively implemented in modern education. Thought experiments continue to expand the boundaries of human knowledge by remaining at the center of scientific discovery processes. These mental simulations have not only enabled scientists in the past to make theoretical inferences but also remain a critical tool in modern science and philosophy. As a powerful method for developing creative thinking skills in gifted individuals, thought experiments have become a fundamental thinking practice in various fields such as theoretical physics, mathematics, artificial intelligence, and ethics. Figures like Galileo, Einstein, and Schrödinger tested their theories through thought experiments before validating them with physical experiments, contributing to the formation of today's scientific paradigm. In modern science, thought experiments offer unique opportunities for scientists to make sense of abstract concepts that cannot be observed or experimentally tested.

Given their significant role in the history of science, how thought experiments can be effectively used in both education and scientific research should be examined in greater detail. In fields such as theoretical physics and the philosophy of science, thought experiments allow for the development of alternative scenarios beyond the limitations of laboratory conditions. The continued adoption of this method in disciplines like quantum mechanics, cosmology, and artificial intelligence demonstrates how indispensable thought experiments are in the scientific world. In this context, examining how thought experiments have been used throughout history and how they have guided scientific revolutions is crucial for understanding their future potential.

The Role of Thought Experiments in the History of Science

Many revolutionary discoveries in the history of science have emerged not only through experimental methods but also through thought experiments. In fields such as physics, philosophy, and the philosophy of science, thought experiments have been an indispensable tool for investigating hypotheses that cannot be observed or tested in laboratory settings. This method has allowed scientists to explore the limits of certain laws, evaluate theoretical models, and develop new scientific frameworks. Thought experiments are not only used in the past but are also intensively employed in modern physics, quantum mechanics, cosmology, and artificial intelligence. Scientists frequently use this method to understand the nature of the universe, reevaluate concepts of time and space, and make theoretical inferences about abstract concepts such as consciousness.

One of the most striking examples of the importance of this method in the history of science is Galileo's Free Fall Experiment. According to Aristotelian thought, heavier objects should fall faster than lighter ones. However, Galileo, before physically testing this, revealed the logical contradictions in Aristotle's theory through a mental simulation. If a heavy and a light object were tied together, the combined system would have to possess both the speed of the light object and the heavy object, creating a situation inconsistent with Aristotelian theory. Galileo, recognizing this contradiction, concluded that all objects fall at the same speed regardless of mass (Mach, 1915). This discovery became one of the cornerstones of a series of scientific developments leading to Newton's laws of motion and ultimately Einstein's theory of relativity.

Similarly, Einstein's Light Clock Experiment highlights the critical role of thought experiments in the birth of scientific theories. While developing the theory of special relativity, Einstein designed a clock in which light reflects back and forth between mirrors. He imagined how this clock would appear on a spaceship moving near the speed of light. For a moving observer, the distance light travels between the mirrors would be longer, leading to the conclusion that time must slow down (Einstein, 1920). This mental experiment demonstrated that time is not absolute but varies depending on the observer's motion, laying the foundation for modern physics. Einstein's thought experiment was later confirmed by experiments, and the theory of relativity became an indispensable element in modern technologies, such as GPS systems.

Another groundbreaking thought experiment, Schrödinger's Cat, is one of the most famous experiments questioning the role of the observer in quantum mechanics. Erwin Schrödinger developed this paradox to show how quantum superposition could be applied to the macroscopic world. The experiment involves a setup where a radioactive atom determines whether a poisonous gas is released. If the Copenhagen Interpretation of quantum mechanics is correct, the cat must be considered both dead and alive until the system is observed (Schrödinger, 1935). Schrödinger's aim was to highlight a significant contradiction in the interpretation of quantum theory. This experiment sparked major debates in the philosophical and scientific interpretations of quantum mechanics, leading to a deeper questioning of its fundamental principles.

Such thought experiments have allowed scientists to test theories without empirical data, driving significant progress in the scientific discovery process. Thought experiments have played a crucial role in shaping scientific discoveries. From Galileo's free fall experiment to Einstein's light clock and Schrödinger's cat, these mental models allow us to make theoretical inferences when physical reality cannot be tested. This method is not only a powerful intellectual tool for scientists but also for anyone seeking to develop analytical and creative thinking skills. Today, thought experiments are used in fields such as artificial intelligence, genetic engineering, and space exploration, and they will continue to expand the boundaries of science in the future.

The Power of Thought Experiments for Gifted Students

Gifted individuals possess deeper, more complex, and multidimensional thinking skills beyond ordinary learning processes. These students demonstrate high ability in grasping abstract concepts and tend to analyze, relate, and synthesize knowledge rather than memorize it (Winner, 2000). Because flexibility and creativity are prominent in their mental processes, traditional teaching methods often fail to fully meet their needs. Thought experiments offer a structured yet limitless exploration space that can help these students maximize their mental capacities. This method allows for the examination and questioning of abstract concepts without being tied to a specific laboratory environment or physical experiments.

Mental simulations conducted through such experiments enable gifted students to explore scientific methods and critically evaluate existing theories. Complex fields such as quantum mechanics, relativity theory, and chaos theory are particularly appealing to these students. Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle, for example, serves as a thought-provoking example. This principle, which states that the position and momentum of quantum particles cannot be simultaneously determined with precision, can encourage gifted students to question the balance between certainty and uncertainty in science (Chalmers, 1996). They can imagine how this principle might be interpreted not only in the microscopic world but also in macroscopic and even philosophical contexts, designing new thought experiments.

Additionally, imagining worlds with alternative physical laws can strengthen the creative thinking processes of gifted students. For instance, envisioning a universe where gravity operates differently or light travels at a variable speed rather than a constant one can spark students' scientific curiosity. Such thought experiments help students intuitively grasp cause-and-effect relationships and explore the limits of existing physical laws. At the same time, these exercises enhance their problem-solving skills not only in scientific contexts but also in everyday life. Designing a universe with new physical laws reinforces the ability to provide alternative explanations for ordinary events.

Finally, thought experiments on artificial intelligence and theories of consciousness can enable gifted individuals to engage in ethical and philosophical inquiries. Questions such as whether an AI system can truly be conscious, whether it can create meaning by merely processing symbols, or whether the human mind can be simulated are deep debates that may interest these students (Chalmers, 1996). Thought experiments like the "Chinese Room" can encourage students to question the nature of artificial intelligence, helping them analyze from both scientific and ethical perspectives. For all these reasons, thought experiments should be considered not only as a learning method but also as a crucial component of the scientific discovery process for gifted individuals.

At this point, educators need to employ strategies that support students' creative thinking processes and develop their theoretical and analytical skills. Thought experiments play a significant role not only in the scientific discovery process but also in enhancing individuals' analytical and creative thinking abilities. This method, used by scientists to make sense of abstract concepts, is not limited to theoretical physics and philosophical inquiries but also emerges as a critical tool in learning processes. In this context, thought experiments can be evaluated not only as mental models used by scientists to test theories but also as a method that deepens the thinking patterns of gifted individuals, enabling them to develop alternative solutions to complex problems. Particularly, individuals inclined toward abstract thinking can both question scientific theories and reinterpret them in different contexts through thought experiments. This method, at the core of scientific discoveries, allows gifted individuals to use their cognitive capacities most efficiently and develop their scientific curiosity. In this regard, understanding how thought experiments contribute to the learning processes of gifted individuals is important for examining how they adopt scientific methods.

The Application of Thought Experiments in Education

The integration of thought experiments into the education system can enrich traditional teaching methods, offering students a deeper and more creative learning experience. While traditional education models often rely on linear knowledge transfer, thought experiments allow students to question, analyze, and develop alternative scenarios. Particularly in disciplines involving abstract concepts, this method can help students make knowledge more meaningful and lasting. Reflecting on the scientific methods of Einstein, Galileo, and Schrödinger, each of them tested their theories mentally before physical experiments. This approach can be adopted in modern education to help students develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

Thought experiments are highly effective tools for science education. For example, students who cannot physically test Galileo's free fall experiment or Newton's laws of motion can imagine how these laws might operate in alternative universes, creating different scenarios. Students can make scientific inferences through questions like, "If Earth's gravitational force were as low as the Moon's, how would human movement change?" Similarly, in chemistry classes, imagining a universe where elements have different properties can help students better understand atomic interactions. Such mental modeling allows students to better comprehend scientific methods and use them creatively.

Thought experiments are not limited to physics or chemistry; they can also be a powerful tool in biology education. To analyze how evolutionary mechanisms might develop under different conditions, students can imagine their own ecosystems and examine how different species might evolve. For instance, questions like, "If Earth's atmospheric oxygen level were 10% lower, how would the development of plants and animals be affected?" can encourage students to think deeply about biological processes. Such scenarios contribute to a better understanding of fundamental biology concepts like ecosystem dynamics and natural selection. Additionally, thought experiments on genetic engineering can provide students with opportunities to think about controversial topics from ethical and scientific perspectives.

One of the areas where thought experiments can contribute the most is philosophy and ethics education. For example, in cognitive science and artificial intelligence topics, students can analyze famous thought experiments like "The Bat in the Brain" or the "Turing Test" to practice critical thinking on consciousness, intelligence, and free will (Searle, 1982). Questions like, "If an AI can communicate indistinguishably from humans, is it truly conscious?" can lead students into deep ethical and epistemological debates. Thus, students become individuals who actively question, analyze, and reshape knowledge rather than passively consuming it. Thought experiments can make significant contributions to the education system's goal of raising more critical and creative individuals.

Thought experiments have played a significant role in shaping scientific discoveries throughout history and have enabled gifted individuals to develop analytical thinking, creative problem-solving, and abstract concept comprehension skills. From Galileo's free fall experiment to Einstein's mental simulations on relativity and Schrödinger's cat questioning quantum superposition, these mental models demonstrate the power of such intellectual exercises. These methods not only allow scientists to construct theoretical frameworks but also deepen students' conceptual understanding in education. Gifted individuals, often inclined toward abstract thinking, superior in grasping complex relationships, and prone to developing alternative perspectives, find thought experiments to be both a learning tool and a crucial component of the scientific inquiry process.

Today, education systems aim to raise students not merely as passive recipients of knowledge but as individuals who question, transform, and reconstruct knowledge. In this context, the wider use of thought experiments in education offers a significant opportunity to enhance students' academic success and develop their critical and creative thinking skills. Particularly in disciplines such as science, philosophy, and ethics, these methods can create a learning atmosphere that encourages scientific curiosity by challenging students' mental boundaries and encouraging them to view events from different angles (Table 1). Thought experiments should be seen not only as tools for scientists' discovery processes but also as instruments of innovation in education, guiding students to become analytical thinkers, critical inquirers, and creative problem-solvers.

Table 1. Examples of Different Thought Experiments

Thought Experiment	Discipline	Key Idea	Implications	References
Cartesian Doubt	Philosophy	Systematic doubt to determine what can be known with certainty.	Introduced foundational epistemological principle: "Cogito, ergo sum" ("I think, therefore I am"). Demonstrates that doubt itself presupposes the existence of a thinking entity.	Cottingham (1992)
Trolley Problem	Philosophy	Ethical dilemma about sacrificing one life to save many.	Explores utilitarianism versus deontological ethics. Highlights complexities in moral reasoning and decision-making in life-and-death scenarios.	Foot (1967)
Galileo's Falling Bodies	Physics	Thought experiment showing objects of different masses fall at the same rate in a vacuum.	Refuted Aristotelian physics. Paved the way for Newtonian mechanics, emphasizing reasoning over empirical methods when direct testing is unavailable.	Mach (1915)

Thought Experiment	**Discipline**	**Key Idea**	**Implications**	**References**
Schrödinger's Cat	Quantum Physics	Hypothetical cat in a box is simultaneously alive and dead until observed.	Illustrates quantum superposition and the role of the observer in quantum mechanics. Challenges classical notions of reality.	Brown (2011)
Einstein's Light Clock	Relativity	Visualization of light reflecting between mirrors to demonstrate time dilation.	Key in developing special relativity. Showed that time is not absolute but depends on relative motion.	Einstein (1920)
Mary's Room	Philosophy/Psychology	Scientist who knows everything about color but has never experienced it.	Raises questions about the nature of knowledge and whether all knowledge is reducible to physical explanations. Explores subjective experience and qualia.	Jackson (1982)
Maxwell's Demon	Thermodynamics	A hypothetical being sorts fast and slow gas molecules to seemingly violate the second law of thermodynamics.	Challenges the concept of entropy and explores the relationship between information and physical processes.	Brown (2011)
Brain in a Vat	Philosophy	A brain connected to a computer could experience a simulated reality indistinguishable from the real world.	Examines the nature of reality and skepticism about external existence. Inspired contemporary discussions on virtual reality and simulation hypotheses.	Rescher (2005)
Ship of Theseus	Metaphysics	If all parts of a ship are replaced over time, is it still the same ship?	Explores identity and change. Highlights the challenges in defining continuity and essence in objects over time.	Gendler (2004)
Experience Machine	Ethics	Hypothetical machine that provides a lifetime of pleasurable experiences, indistinguishable from reality.	Questions the value of authenticity and whether pleasure alone constitutes a meaningful life. Critiques hedonistic utilitarianism.	Nozick (1974)
Infinite Monkey Theorem	Mathematics	A monkey randomly typing on a typewriter will eventually produce a complete work like Shakespeare's *Hamlet*.	Highlights concepts of probability, randomness, and infinity. Often used as a metaphor for the unlikely but possible outcomes in infinite scenarios.	Sorensen (1992)
Twin Earth	Philosophy	Hypothetical world identical to Earth but with key differences (e.g., water composed of XYZ instead of H_2O).	Explores semantics and the meaning of terms in relation to their environment. Highlights externalism in the philosophy of language and mind.	Putnam (1996)
The Chinese Room	Artificial Intelligence	A person following rules to manipulate symbols could appear to understand Chinese without genuinely understanding it.	Challenges the concept of machine understanding and intelligence. Argues against strong AI claims that computation alone equates to cognition.	Searle (1982)
Lottery Paradox	Logic	A lottery ticket will likely lose, yet believing this for every ticket conflicts with the certainty that one will win.	Explores probabilistic reasoning and the limits of epistemic justification. Highlights challenges in balancing rational beliefs.	Rescher (2005)
The Veil of Ignorance	Political Philosophy	Designing a society without knowing one's own position within it.	Encourages fairness and impartiality in policy-making. Foundational to Rawls' theory of justice, emphasizing equality and the principles of fairness.	Runcheva (2013)

Given the significant role of thought experiments in the history of science and their potential in education, a detailed examination using qualitative research methods is necessary to understand how these methods contribute to individuals' cognitive development. Specifically, how gifted students comprehend thought experiments, their processes of reconstructing these experiments, and their ways of making sense of scientific concepts can be deeply analyzed through individual interviews. In this context, the study adopted a qualitative research approach, conducting open-ended individual interviews with three middle school students diagnosed as gifted. The students' processes of understanding thought experiments were examined in detail. In addition to individual interviews, a group discussion was held to reveal the students' capacities to produce their own thought experiments and their creative problem-solving strategies. This methodological approach provides an important framework for understanding the role of thought experiments in the scientific thinking processes of gifted individuals and determining how this method can be more effectively applied in education.

METHOD

This study was conducted through individual interviews with three middle school students diagnosed as gifted. A qualitative method was adopted, and the participants' processes of understanding and reconstructing thought experiments were examined in detail. The aim of the study was to understand how students internalize scientific concepts, approach thought experiments, and reconstruct them. The selection of participants was based on their giftedness diagnosis and their deep interest in scientific concepts. The study involved two female and one male student, focusing on their individual thinking processes and creative cognitive abilities.

Design and Participants

We used an exploratory qualitative design with three middle-school students formally identified as gifted through institutional procedures (Appendix A). Purposeful sampling ensured sustained interest in abstract scientific ideas and prior enrichment experience. Parental consent and student assent were obtained; pseudonyms are used.

Instrument

Semi-structured interview protocols—centered on canonical physics thought experiments—functioned as the primary data-collection instrument. Prompts explicitly named entities, idealizations, and boundary conditions, inviting students to map an everyday source scenario onto a physics target concept (Appendix B).

Procedure

Each interview proceeded in three moves: (1) Initial analogy (student-generated), (2) Counter-example (what would break the analogy?), and (3) Revision (tightening the mapping). A follow-up small-group discussion enabled peer critique and co-construction of improved designs (Appendix C).

Analysis and Trustworthiness

Data were analyzed via reflexive thematic analysis with iterative coding (open–axial–selective). Trustworthiness was supported through analyst triangulation (independent coding with reconciliation), member reflections (participants checked analytic summaries), and an audit trail (codebook, memos, decision log).

During the data collection process, open-ended individual interviews were conducted with the students. First, the general definition of thought experiments was provided, and examples of significant thought experiments from the history of science were presented. The students were asked which of these experiments interested them and were requested to explain the thought experiments they chose. To ensure the students correctly understood the concepts, their explanations were carefully evaluated and supported with additional clarifications when necessary. Subsequently, the students were asked to explain the same thought experiment using a similar example, allowing for a more detailed observation of their conceptual understanding and creative thinking skills.

During the interviews, the students found the process engaging and enjoyable. The interviews were structured to allow students to express their thoughts freely in a comfortable environment. The participants' responses and explanations were recorded, transcribed, and converted into written texts without distorting their thought processes. To best reflect the students' individual cognitive approaches and abstract thinking capacities, the natural flow of the interviews was preserved.

After completing the individual interviews, a group discussion was held with the students, focusing on the thought experiments they had developed. During the group discussion, it was observed how the students interpreted each other's thought experiments, evaluated different perspectives, and whether they tended to defend or revise their own concepts. This stage allowed for a broader evaluation of the students' scientific thinking processes and helped them finalize their thought experiments. The study showed that the students enjoyed the process and their interest in thought experiments increased.

FINDINGS

This section discusses the original thought experiments developed by the three gifted students and evaluates their connections with scientific concepts. The experiments designed by the students reinterpret classical thought experiments from different perspectives and aim to provide an intuitive understanding of conceptual challenges. The findings reveal how the students internalized abstract concepts in physics and quantum mechanics, their scientific approaches, and the analogies they developed while constructing thought experiments.

Theme 1 — Boundary-Testing via Revision: The Coin and Ghost Cat Experiment

Students made the limits of their analogies explicit and adjusted entities/conditions after a counter-example. Illustrative line: "If the coin is 'both' only until observed, then my story must specify the observation window."

This experiment, based on Schrödinger's famous cat paradox, was expanded with an analogy involving probability, superposition, and the observer effect. The core logic of the experiment relies on the process of flipping a coin. Before the coin lands, it can be in a superposition of both "heads" and "tails" states since it is not observed. However, once it lands, the superposition collapses, and only one outcome is realized. The students associated this situation with quantum superposition, suggesting that the coin in the air could be likened to Schrödinger's cat in the box.

Through this analogy, the students discussed some criticisms of Schrödinger's cat thought experiment. They emphasized that the "dead and alive" states are irreversible at the macroscopic level, whereas the coin's states can physically coexist within the same system. They also questioned whether observing the system through a small hole in the box would collapse the superposition. The students debated how probabilities might change depending on the duration of the experiment and how parameters like the cat's time of death could affect the process. Additionally, they considered whether a deterministic mechanism, such as a hammer, would eliminate superposition.

This thought experiment demonstrates how students developed intuitive analogies to grasp abstract concepts in quantum mechanics, such as the observer effect, superposition, and probabilistic collapse.

Theme 2 — Cross-Context Transfer: Diving into a Pool and Galileo's Free Fall Principle

Learners reconciled free fall with motion in a fluid by foregrounding medium-dependent forces and revising predictions. Illustrative line: "The pool case adds drag; my 'vacuum' version needs a boundary condition."

This thought experiment, developed by the students, aims to intuitively explain the contradictions between Galileo's free fall law and everyday experiences. The experiment involves two individuals of different masses (a father and his daughter) jumping into a pool. Theoretically, according to the free fall law, all objects should reach the ground (or the bottom of the pool) in the same time, regardless of mass. However, based on empirical experiences from daily life, the students developed a paradox suggesting that a more massive object should sink faster.

In the experiment, the father has a larger mass, while the daughter has a smaller mass. If the free fall law were incorrect, the father, being heavier, should sink faster and reach the bottom before his daughter. However, when the daughter is in her father's arms, although the father initially moves faster, the daughter's weight alters the system's total motion, causing the father to reach the bottom more slowly than he would alone. This demonstrates that the assumption "heavier objects sink faster" is not universally valid. The students used their ability to relate scientific concepts to concrete situations while developing this analogy.

This experiment presents an interesting approach to understanding how Newtonian mechanics and fluid mechanics are internalized. The students combined an everyday example with a scientific theory, discussing how the free fall law could be interpreted in different contexts. They also compared Galileo's free fall experiment in a vacuum with the effects of mass in a viscous medium like water.

Theme 3 — Measurement as Intervention: Cooking and Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle

Students treated measurement as interaction rather than neutral recording, aligning the cooking metaphor with disturbance. Illustrative line: "Tasting changes the soup—so measuring can change what is measured."

This experiment, developed by the students, serves as an intuitive analogy for Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. The core logic is associated with not knowing the taste of a dish until it is sampled. However, if sampled continuously, the dish diminishes and may even be consumed. This highlights how the observation process itself affects the system, altering its outcome. The students related the cooking process to the uncertainty principle, suggesting that observing and preserving a system simultaneously might not always be possible.

This analogy, based on Heisenberg's principle that "position and momentum cannot be precisely determined simultaneously," explains the effect of observation on a system. In the cooking process, tasting the dish, like observing a particle, changes the system's state and introduces uncertainty. The students demonstrated their ability to make sense of abstract physical concepts through everyday experiences while developing this analogy.

An interesting aspect of this experiment is that it shows how scientific concepts can be explained not only through physical processes but also through cognitive and sensory experiences. The students indicated that the uncertainty principle is not limited to quantum mechanics but can also be observed in similar mechanisms in daily life. Through this approach, the students not only internalized scientific concepts but also adapted them to different contexts, enhancing their creative thinking skills.

The findings reveal how students make sense of and reinterpret scientific concepts through thought experiments. The students explained abstract concepts in classical physics and quantum mechanics using analogies from everyday life, developing new metaphors to make scientific theories more understandable. This demonstrates that thought experiments are a powerful tool for supporting conceptual learning in gifted individuals.

Additionally, the students' critical approach to thought experiments and their ability to question them indicate advanced scientific thinking skills. For example, their critiques of Schrödinger's cat in the coin and ghost cat experiment show their ability to distinguish between macroscopic and microscopic processes. Similarly, their discussion of Galileo's free fall law in a different context demonstrates their ability to internalize scientific knowledge and relate it to everyday life.

These findings show that thought experiments are not only effective for learning scientific theories but also for developing students' creative and critical thinking skills.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates how thought experiments can be an effective tool for gifted students in scientific discovery processes. Thought experiments are not only used to investigate hypotheses that cannot be physically tested but also to concretize abstract concepts and enhance students' scientific thinking skills. The original thought experiments developed by the students reveal their intuitive understanding of complex fields such as quantum mechanics, classical physics, and the philosophy of science. Their critiques of Schrödinger's cat, their reinterpretation of Galileo's free fall law, and their application of Heisenberg's uncertainty principle in everyday contexts demonstrate their deep analytical abilities in scientific thinking processes.

The research findings suggest that thought experiments should have a broader application in education. Traditional education models, often based on linear knowledge transfer, can limit students' direct involvement in scientific processes. However, thought experiments encourage active learning, enhancing students' analytical thinking, problem-solving, and creative cognitive abilities. For gifted individuals, thought experiments can be a powerful method to stimulate scientific curiosity and enable them to analyze knowledge rather than memorize it. In this context, educators should systematically integrate thought experiments into their curricula, providing students with more opportunities to develop scientific thinking skills.

The results of this study show that thought experiments, as a revolutionary method in the history of science, are also an indispensable tool in modern science education and the philosophy of science. Scientists like Galileo, Einstein, Schrödinger, and Heisenberg utilized this method to develop their theoretical frameworks and guide scientific discovery processes. Today, thought experiments are not only valuable for scientists but also serve as an effective method in education to encourage critical thinking, establish interdisciplinary connections, and guide students toward advanced scientific inquiry. Therefore, thought experiments should be more widely used as a tool to enhance academic achievement, stimulate scientific curiosity, and nurture future scientists.

In conclusion, this research highlights that thought experiments are a powerful method for supporting both scientific discovery and creative problem-solving processes in gifted students. Future studies should examine how thought experiments can be applied across different disciplines, which age groups benefit most, and how they can be more effectively integrated into science education curricula. An education model shaped by thought experiments will not only help students better understand scientific concepts but also contribute to their development as inquisitive, creative, and analytical thinkers.

Recommendations

Embed student-generated thought experiments as a brief routine within regular physics units: (1) Prime with a short canonical case (text or image), (2) Elicit a student analogy that names entities, idealizations, and boundary conditions, (3) Introduce a targeted counter-example that stresses a weak mapping, (4) Require a revision that tightens source–target alignment, and (5) Compare designs publicly using a simple rubric (concept match, boundary clarity, testability, generalizability). For assessment, pair a quick transfer probe (new context, same principle) with a reflective memo capturing how the analogy changed and why. Teacher moves should make the limits of analogy explicit (where it no longer holds) and separate quantum-level claims from macroscopic intuitions. Provide a one-page checklist, prompt banks, and model exemplars to scale with fidelity; invite student voice in rubric refinement. The routine is low-cost, inclusive, and suitable for gifted pull-out programs and mixed-ability classrooms, while generating analyzable artifacts for iterative improvement.

REFERENCES

Brown, J. R. (2011). *The laboratory of the mind: Thought experiments in the natural sciences* (2nd ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203847794

Chalmers, D. J. (1996). The conscious mind: In search of a fundamental theory. Oxford University Press.

Cottingham, J. (Ed.). (1992). *The Cambridge companion to Descartes*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CCOL0521366232

Einstein, A. (1920). Relativity: The special and the general theory (R. W. Lawson, Trans.). Methuen. (Original work published 1916)

Foot, P. (1967). The problem of abortion and the doctrine of the double effect. *Oxford Review*, 5, 5–15.

Gendler, T. S. (2004). Thought experiments rethought—and reperceived. *Philosophy of Science*, 71(5), 1152–1163. https://doi.org/10.1086/425239

Jackson, F. (1982). Epiphenomenal qualia. *The Philosophical Quarterly*, 32(127), 127–136. https://doi.org/10.2307/2960077

Mach, E. (1915). *The science of mechanics: A critical and historical account of its development* (T. J. McCormack, Trans., 3rd ed.). Open Court. (Original work published 1883)..

Nozick, R. (1974). *Anarchy, state, and utopia*. Basic Books.

Putnam, H. (1996). The meaning of "meaning". In A. Pessin & S. Goldberg (Eds.), *The Twin Earth chronicles: Twenty years of reflection on Hilary Putnam's "The meaning of 'meaning'"* (pp. 3–52). M. E. Sharpe. (Essay originally published 1975).

Rescher, N. (2005). *What if? Thought experimentation in philosophy*. Transaction Publishers.

Runcheva T., H. (2013). John Rawls: Justice as fairness behind the veil of ignorance. *Iustinianus Primus Law Review*, 4(2), 1–12.

Schrödinger, E. (1935). Die gegenwärtige Situation in der Quantenmechanik. *Naturwissenschaften*, 23, 807–812. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01491891

Searle, J. R. (1982). The Chinese room revisited. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 5(2), 345–348. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00012425

Sorensen, R. A. (1992). *Thought experiments*. Oxford University Press.

Sternberg, R. J., & Davidson, J. E. (Eds.). (2005). *Conceptions of giftedness* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511610455

Winner, E. (2000). Giftedness: Current theory and research. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 9(5), 153–156. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00082

Appendix A. Teacher Routine (cut-down, classroom-ready)

1. **Prime (2–3 min):** Canonical micro-case (image or 100-word vignette).
2. **Draft (6–8 min):** Student analogy → name entities, idealizations, boundary conditions.
3. **Stress (4–5 min):** Counter-example prompt; predict failure points.
4. **Revise (6–8 min):** Tighten mapping; clarify where the analogy stops working.
5. **Compare (5 min):** Gallery walk or 2-minute share-outs; quick rubric score + one sentence of peer feedback.
6. **Assess (exit or homework):** Transfer probe + 120-word reflective memo.

Appendix B. Mini-Rubric (0–2 scale; quick scoring)

Criterion	0 = Emerging	1 = Adequate	2 = Strong
Concept Match	Vague or off-target	Partial/implicit alignment	Clear, accurate mapping
Boundary Clarity	Not specified	Implicit/one boundary	Explicit, relevant boundaries
Testability	No check proposed	Descriptive check only	Falsifiable or stress-tested
Generalizability	Single instance	Similar cases only	Extends across contexts

Appendix C. Assessment Templates

Transfer Probe (example): "Predict what changes if the 'Diving into a Pool' scenario occurs in **honey** rather than water. Name the changed forces and how the trajectory differs."

Reflective Memo Prompt: "What was the **weakest part** of your initial analogy? How did the counter-example help you revise it? Name one boundary condition you added."